

# AUTONOMOUS GENERATION OF COMPLEX STRUCTURES

Claudio Parmeggiani\*

**Complex Structures.** By complex structure here we mean “something” that can be *described* or *encoded* using some formal language; “complex” means that the language contains a large number of words or that the description requires many words (or both). More precisely: if  $A$  is the finite set of language words,  $|A|$  is the number of elements of  $A$  and  $N$  is the number of words in the description,  $C = -\log(1/|A|^N) = N \log(|A|)$  is taken as the definition of the structure complexity. Note that words in language can simply designate other structures - and so on, on multiple levels. The structures (strings of words, in fact) can then be *materialized*, in many copies, as physical objects. These materializations can be *transient*, then capable of reproducing, to preserve the code.

**Structures Generation.** The structures are *generated* in successive *steps*, from less complex proto-structures, by continuous augmentations and enhancements, *random* or *finalized*. A *selection* mechanism (due to interactions with the external environment; or sometimes intrinsic, inner) then proceeds to gradually reduce the number of surviving, interesting proto-structures. The generation of the structures must be *autonomous*: it is not the work or the project of some external entity.

**Random generation.** We will start from  $A$ , the set of the one-word structures. After the selection (*sel*) we are left with  $B_1 = sel(A)$  structures, a subset of  $A$ ; next  $B_2 = sel(B_1 \times A)$  is the set of selected two-words structures; recursively  $B_{n+1} = sel(B_n \times A)$ . The model then provides an indication of the *optimal* number of steps to be taken to generate  $N$ -words structures. It is shown that there is always an optimal choice, intermediate between the  $N$ -steps case and the extreme (often impracticable) one-step case.

**Finalized generation.** However, in the case of extremely complex structures, if the growth of the structures is only random, even the optimal choices are impracticable. It seems necessary to resort to *finalized* (directed, targeted) enhancements/improvements - always driven by interactions with the external environment. This type of growth inevitably requires a certain *plasticity* of the structure and a sort of his *learning ability*.

---

\* lclaudiparm@gmail.com